

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

		•		, Nc
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APP	PLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/889,975	07/10/97	JANAY	G	30/01
		LM02/0413		EXAMINER
JEFFREY I H	(APLAN		LUU, L	
KAPLAN & G			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
900 ROUTE 5TH FLOOR	9 NORTH		2756	12
WOODBRIDGE	NJ 07095		DATE MAILED.	04/13/99

## Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

		. ADVISORY ACTION	)N				
X	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE	:					
a) [	is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection				
ь) 🔀	b) x expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the malling date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.						
	The date on which the respondence of determining the	nse, the petition, and the fee have been to period of extension and the corresponding	FR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. iled is the date for the response and also the date for the parameter and also the date for the parameter and the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR autory period for response or as set forth in b) above.				
□ A	ppellant's Brief is due in accord	lance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
X &	pplicant's response to the final place the application in condit	rejection, filed <u>03/26/99</u> has be ion for allowance:	een considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed				
1. 17	The proposed amendments t	o the claim and /or specification will not be	entered and the final rejection stands because:				
·	a. There is no convincing presented.	showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier				
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).						
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).						
	d. They are not deemed appeal.	to place the application in botter form for	appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
	e.   They present addition:	at claims without cancelling a corresponding	ng number of finally rejected claims.				
	NOTE: Applicani	to introduce new further search	limitatione that				
2.	Newly proposed or amended the non-allowable claims.	d daims would be allo	wed if submitted in a separately filed amondment cancelling				
з. 🔀	Upon the filing an appeal, the be as follows:	proposed amendment  will be entere	will not be entered and the status of the claims will				
	Claims allowed:						
	Claims objected to:	1 6	<u> </u>				
	Claims rejected:	<u> </u>	<del></del>				
		s overcome the following rejection(s):					
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
4.	The affidavit, exhibit or reque	est for reconsideration has been considere	d but does not overcome the rejection because				
5. 🔲	The affidavit or exhibit will no presented.	t be considered because applicant has not	shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier				
□ The	e proposed drawing correction	has has not been approved by	the examiner.				
Ot	her		Cumen				

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

Le H. Lun